

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/789,937	Applicant(s) TOYOSHIMA ET AL.	
	Examiner Ngoclan T. Mai	Art Unit 1742	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Ngoclan T. Mai.

(3) _____.

 (2) G Gregory Schivley.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 May 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 8,9

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amendment to claim 1 omit the limitation of Nb. Attorney agrees to insert this limitation by examiner amendment. Furthermore, claims 8 and 9 are amended to recite the method steps in an active form.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)